# Alleviating $H_0$ and $\sigma_8$ tensions from WGB topological dark energy

#### Stylianos. A. Tsilioukas <sup>1,2</sup> tsilioukas@sch.gr

 $^1 \rm Department$  of Physics, University of Thessaly, 35100 Lamia, Greece  $^2 \rm National$  Observatory of Athens, Lofos Nymfon, 11852 Athens, Greece

#### Cosmoverse@Istanbul 2025







• Drawing an analogy from black hole (BH) thermodynamics, one can apply the first law dE = TdSto the Universe apparent horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  and obtain the Friedmann equations.

- Drawing an analogy from black hole (BH) thermodynamics, one can apply the first law dE = TdSto the Universe apparent horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  and obtain the Friedmann equations.
- Starting from the radius of the apparent horizon  $\tilde{r}_A = 1 / \sqrt{H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}}$ , its temperature  $T_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 / 2\pi \tilde{r}_A$  and the heat flow crossing it  $\delta Q = -dE = A(\rho_m + p_m)H\tilde{r}_A dt$ , Cai and Kim [2005]

- Drawing an analogy from black hole (BH) thermodynamics, one can apply the first law dE = TdSto the Universe apparent horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  and obtain the Friedmann equations.
- Starting from the radius of the apparent horizon  $\tilde{r}_A = 1 / \sqrt{H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}}$ , its temperature  $T_H = 1 / 2\pi \tilde{r}_A$  and the heat flow crossing it  $\delta Q = -dE = A(\rho_m + p_m)H\tilde{r}_A dt$ , Cai and Kim [2005]
- Assuming the Hawking entropy  $S_h = \frac{A}{4G}$  where  $A = 4\pi \tilde{r}_A^2$ :

- Drawing an analogy from black hole (BH) thermodynamics, one can apply the first law dE = TdSto the Universe apparent horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  and obtain the Friedmann equations.
- Starting from the radius of the apparent horizon  $\tilde{r}_A = 1 / \sqrt{H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}}$ , its temperature  $T_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 / 2\pi \tilde{r}_A$  and the heat flow crossing it  $\delta Q = -\mathrm{d}E = A(\rho_m + p_m)H\tilde{r}_A\mathrm{d}t$ , Cai and Kim [2005]
- Assuming the Hawking entropy  $S_h = \frac{A}{4G}$  where  $A = 4\pi \tilde{r}_A^2$ :

$$-4\pi G(\rho_m + \rho_m) = \dot{H} - \frac{k}{a^2},$$
 (1)  
$$\frac{8\pi G\rho_m}{3} = H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}$$
 (2)

2 / 19

.

• From the EH action one obtains the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a BH:  $I_{EH} \longrightarrow S_{BH}$ 

- From the EH action one obtains the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a BH:  $I_{EH} \longrightarrow S_{BH}$
- When the action in 4D is extended up to the GB term, then according to Wald [1993] the BH entropy will be also extended  $I_{EH} + I_{GB} \longrightarrow S_{BH} + S_{GB}$ :

- From the EH action one obtains the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a BH:  $I_{EH} \longrightarrow S_{BH}$
- When the action in 4D is extended up to the GB term, then according to Wald [1993] the BH entropy will be also extended  $I_{EH} + I_{GB} \longrightarrow S_{BH} + S_{GB}$ :

$$S_{\text{WGB}} = \frac{A}{4G} + \frac{2\pi\tilde{\alpha}}{G}\chi(h). \tag{3}$$

- From the EH action one obtains the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a BH:  $I_{EH} \longrightarrow S_{BH}$
- When the action in 4D is extended up to the GB term, then according to Wald [1993] the BH entropy will be also extended  $I_{EH} + I_{GB} \longrightarrow S_{BH} + S_{GB}$ :

$$S_{\rm WGB} = \frac{A}{4G} + \frac{2\pi\tilde{\alpha}}{G}\chi(h). \tag{3}$$

where *h*, the BH horizon, is a  $S^2$  sphere, thus its Euler characteristic is  $\chi(h) = 2$ .





$$\chi_{in} = \chi(S^2) + \chi(S^2) = 4$$
$$\chi_f = \chi(S^2) = 2$$
$$\delta \chi = \chi_f - \chi_{in} = -2$$



$$\chi_{in} = \chi(S^2) + \chi(S^2) = 4$$
$$\chi_f = \chi(S^2) = 2$$
$$\delta \chi = \chi_f - \chi_{in} = -2$$

During BH merging there is an integer decrease of  $S_{WGB}$  violating the second thermodynamical law, Sarkar and Wall [2011].



$$\chi_{in} = \chi(S^2) + \chi(S^2) = 4$$
$$\chi_f = \chi(S^2) = 2$$
$$\delta \chi = \chi_f - \chi_{in} = -2$$

During BH merging there is an integer decrease of  $S_{WGB}$  violating the second thermodynamical law, Sarkar and Wall [2011].

Vice versa, during BH formation  $\delta\chi=2$ 

#### Resolving the the second law violation

### Resolving the the second law violation

By demanding that the topology of the causally connected boundaries ∂M = H ∪<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> h<sub>i</sub> remain constant χ(H) + ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> χ(h<sub>i</sub>) = cons.

- By demanding that the topology of the causally connected boundaries ∂M = H ∪<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> h<sub>i</sub> remain constant χ(H) + ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> χ(h<sub>i</sub>) = cons.
- each time a BH horizon is formed, two puncture disks open up on the apparent horizon  $\delta\chi(\mathcal{H}) = -2$

- By demanding that the topology of the causally connected boundaries ∂M = H ∪<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> h<sub>i</sub> remain constant χ(H) + ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> χ(h<sub>i</sub>) = cons.
- each time a BH horizon is formed, two puncture disks open up on the apparent horizon  $\delta\chi(\mathcal{H}) = -2$
- while each time two BH horizons merge into one, two disk punctures close up, δχ(H) = 2.

### Resolving the the second law violation

- By demanding that the topology of the causally connected boundaries ∂M = H ∪<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> h<sub>i</sub> remain constant χ(H) + ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup> χ(h<sub>i</sub>) = cons.
- each time a BH horizon is formed, two puncture disks open up on the apparent horizon  $\delta\chi(\mathcal{H}) = -2$
- while each time two BH horizons merge into one, two disk punctures close up, δχ(H) = 2.



when  $\delta N_{form}$  BHs are formed and  $\delta N_{merg}$  BHs merge

$$\delta \chi(\mathcal{H}) = -2 \left( \delta N_{form} - \delta N_{merg} \right)$$
  
$$\delta \chi(\mathcal{H}) = -2 \ \delta N \tag{4}$$

If instead of the standard Hawking entropy we implement the WGB entropy into the first law dE = TdS, follow the steps in the spacetime thermodynamics procedure and transform per redshift, then we obtain:

If instead of the standard Hawking entropy we implement the WGB entropy into the first law dE = TdS, follow the steps in the spacetime thermodynamics procedure and transform per redshift, then we obtain:

$$H^{2}(z) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{m} + k(1+z)^{2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{a} \int_{z_{i}}^{z} [H^{2} + k(1+z)^{2}]^{2} \frac{dN}{dz} dz.$$
(5)

If instead of the standard Hawking entropy we implement the WGB entropy into the first law dE = TdS, follow the steps in the spacetime thermodynamics procedure and transform per redshift, then we obtain:

$$H^{2}(z) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{m} + k(1+z)^{2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{a}\int_{z_{i}}^{z} [H^{2} + k(1+z)^{2}]^{2} \frac{dN}{dz} dz.$$
(5)

absorbing the extra terms in the DE density we define:

$$\rho_{DE}(z) = \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{a} \int_{z_i}^{z} [H^2 + k(1+z)^2]^2 \frac{dN}{dz} dz \right\},$$
(6)

### Black hole formation and merger rates

#### Black hole formation and merger rates

From the Star Formation Rate (SFR) best fit model of Madau and Dickinson [2014]

#### Black hole formation and merger rates

From the Star Formation Rate (SFR) best fit model of Madau and Dickinson [2014]

we have estimated the rate of the active number of BHs per redshift inside the apparent horizon

$$\frac{dN(z)}{dz} = C \frac{\psi(z)}{H^4(z)(1+z)},\tag{8}$$

where we have absorbed all the astrophysical parameters

$$C \equiv \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{\left(1 - f_{\rm bin} \times f_{\rm merge}\right) f_{\rm BH}}{\langle m_{\rm prog} \rangle}.$$
 (9)

7 / 19

$$H^{2}(z) = H_{0}^{2}\Omega_{m0}(1+z)^{3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{\alpha}C\int_{z_{i}}^{z}\frac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)}dz, (10)$$

$$H^{2}(z) = H_{0}^{2}\Omega_{m0}(1+z)^{3} + rac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{lpha}C\int_{z_{i}}^{z}rac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)}dz, \ (10)$$

$$\rho_{DE}(z) = \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{\alpha} C \int_{z_i}^z \frac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)} dz \right), \quad (11)$$

$$H^{2}(z) = H_{0}^{2}\Omega_{m0}(1+z)^{3} + rac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{lpha}C\int_{z_{i}}^{z}rac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)}dz, \ (10)$$

$$\rho_{DE}(z) = \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 8\tilde{\alpha} C \int_{z_i}^z \frac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)} dz \right), \qquad (11)$$

$$w_{DE}(z) = -1 - \frac{2\tilde{\alpha}C\psi(z)}{\frac{\Lambda}{4} - 6\tilde{\alpha}C\int_{z_i}^z \frac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)}dz},$$
 (12)

### Parameters of the model

#### Parameters of the model

The integral can be evaluated in terms of the hypergeometric function  ${}_{2}F_{1}(a, b; c; z)$ , and gives

$$\int \frac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)} dz = 0.37037 \cdot (1+z)^{2.7}$$
(13)  
<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (0.482143, 1.0; 1.48214; -0.00257378 \cdot (1+z)^{5.6}).

#### Parameters of the model

The integral can be evaluated in terms of the hypergeometric function  $_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ , and gives

$$\int \frac{\psi(z)}{(1+z)} dz = 0.37037 \cdot (1+z)^{2.7}$$
(13)  
<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (0.482143, 1.0; 1.48214; -0.00257378 \cdot (1+z)^{5.6}).

Range of the involved parameters according to the literature.

Parameter	Value
f <sub>BH</sub>	0.1% to 5%
$\langle m_{ m prog}  angle$	25 to 40 $M_{\odot}$
f <sub>merge</sub>	1% to 10%
f <sub>bin</sub>	50% to 80%

9 / 19
$\tilde{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$ 







 $\tilde{\alpha} < \mathbf{0}$ 











In all graphs we have used the models parameters  $|\tilde{\alpha}| = 10^5$  (in H<sub>0</sub> units), f<sub>BH</sub> = 0.025,

 $m_{prog}$  = 30 $M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin}$  = 0.65,  $f_{merge}$  = 0.05 and we have implemented  $\Omega_{DE0}$  = 0.69.

The normalized  $H(z)/(1+z^3)$  parametric dependence

The normalized  $H(z)/(1+z^3)$  parametric dependence



The normalized  $H(z)/(1 + z^3)$ parametric dependence



graph we have set  $f_{BH} = 0.025$ , while in the lower graph  $\tilde{\alpha} = 2.3 \times 10^5$ . In both graphs we have used  $m_{prog} = 30 M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin} = 0.65$ ,  $f_{merge} = 0.05$  and we have set  $\Omega_{m0} = 0.31$ .

The normalized  $H(z)/(1 + z^3)$ parametric dependence

The DE equation of state parameter  $w_{DE}(z)$ parametric dependence



graph we have set  $f_{BH} = 0.025$ , while in the lower graph  $\tilde{\alpha} = 2.3 \times 10^5$ . In both graphs we have used  $m_{prog} = 30 M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin} = 0.65$ ,  $f_{merge} = 0.05$  and we have set  $\Omega_{m0} = 0.31$ .

The normalized  $H(z)/(1+z^3)$ parametric dependence

60

20

60  $H(z)/((1+z)^3$ 

20 0.001 0.010 0.100

0.001 0.010

 $H(z)/((1+z)^3$ 

The DE equation of state parameter  $w_{DE}(z)$ parametric dependence

10







graph we have set  $f_{BH} = 0.025$ , while in the lower graph  $\tilde{\alpha} = 2.3 \times 10^5$ . In both graphs we have used  $m_{prog} = 30 M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin} = 0.65$ ,  $f_{merge} = 0.05$  and we have set  $\Omega_{m0} = 0.31$ .

10 100

The normalized  $H(z)/(1 + z^3)$ parametric dependence





The DE equation of state parameter  $w_{DE}(z)$ parametric dependence



The normalized  $H(z)/(1 + z^3)$ parametric dependence



graph we have set  $f_{BH}=0.025$ , while in the lower graph  $\tilde{\alpha}=2.3\times 10^5$ . In both graphs we have used  $m_{prog}=30 M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin}=0.65$ ,  $f_{merge}=0.05$  and we have set  $\Omega_{m0}=0.31$ .

#### The DE equation of state parameter $w_{DE}(z)$ parametric dependence



In the upper graph we have used  $f_{BH}=0.025$  and in the lower graph  $\tilde{\alpha}=2\times10^5$  in  $H_0$  units. The other model parameters used in both graphs are  $m_{prog}=30M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin}=0.65$ ,  $f_{merge}=0.05$ , and we have imposed  $\Omega_{DE0}=0.69$ .

In order to validate our results, we confront the scenario with observational data from Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa) and Cosmic Chronometers (CC) using the Cobaya code Torrado and Lewis [2021].

In order to validate our results, we confront the scenario with observational data from Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa) and Cosmic Chronometers (CC) using the Cobaya code Torrado and Lewis [2021].

Parameters	$1\sigma$ Values
H <sub>0</sub>	$75.2\pm4.7~\text{km/s/Mpc}$
$\Omega_{m0}$	$0.38\pm0.05$
$ ilde{lpha}$	$(9.4\pm7.1) imes10^4$
$\Omega_{DE0}$	$0.62\pm0.05$
r <sub>drag</sub>	$212.1\pm31.2~\textrm{Mpc}$

In order to validate our results, we confront the scenario with observational data from Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa) and Cosmic Chronometers (CC) using the Cobaya code Torrado and Lewis [2021].

		M/a have fixed the
Parameters	$1\sigma$ Values	astrophysical
Ho	$75.2\pm4.7~{ m km/s/Mpc}$	parameters as:
, 10		$f_{BH} = 0.025$ ,
$\Omega_{m0}$	$0.38\pm0.05$	$m_{\rm prog} = 30 M_{\odot}$ ,
$ ilde{lpha}$	$(9.4\pm7.1) imes10^4$	$f_{bin} = 0.65,$
$\Omega_{DE0}$	$0.62\pm0.05$	$f_{merge} = 0.05$ so as to
<i>r</i> <sub>drag</sub>	$212.1\pm31.2Mpc$	constrain the free
-		parameter $\tilde{\alpha}$ .

Observational constraints at  $1\sigma$  confidence level.

#### Bayesian analysis of the parameter space

#### Bayesian analysis of the parameter space



The  $1\sigma$  and  $2\sigma$  iso-likelihood contours for Wald-Gauss-Bonnet topological dark energy, for the 2D subsets of the parameter space using Cobaya code.

# Alleviating the $\sigma_8$ tension

DE does not cluster, hence modifications on the overdensity evolution will depend mainly on *H*:

$$\delta_m'' + \left(\frac{H'(z)}{H(z)} - \frac{1}{1+z}\right)\delta_m' - \frac{3\,\Omega m_0 \,H_0^2 \,(1+z)}{H^2}\delta_m = 0.$$
(14)

DE does not cluster, hence modifications on the overdensity evolution will depend mainly on *H*:

$$\delta_m'' + \left(\frac{H'(z)}{H(z)} - \frac{1}{1+z}\right)\delta_m' - \frac{3\,\Omega m_0\,H_0^2\,(1+z)}{H^2}\delta_m = 0.$$
(14)

after extracting the solution for  $\delta_m(z)$  we calculate the important physical observable

$$f\sigma 8 \equiv f(z)\sigma(z),$$
 (15)

where 
$$f(z) := -\frac{d \ln \delta_m(z)}{d \ln z}$$
 and  $\sigma(z) := \sigma_8 \frac{\delta_m(z)}{\delta_m(0)}$ .

# Alleviating the $\sigma_8$ tension

#### Alleviating the $\sigma_8$ tension



Wald-Gauss-Bonnet cosmology with  $\tilde{\alpha} = 4.5 \cdot 10^5$  in units where  $8\pi G = 1$ , and with  $f_{BH} = 0.025$ ,  $m_{prog} = 30 M_{\odot}$ ,  $f_{bin} = 0.65$ ,  $f_{merge} = 0.05$  (red dashed), as well as in  $\Lambda CDM$  paradigm (black solid). Additionally, the blue data points are from Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) observations in SDSS-III DR12 Gil-Marín et al. [2018], while the gray data points at higher redshifts are from SDSS-IV DR14 Hou et al. [2018], Zhao et al. [2019], Gil-Marín et al. [2018].

• In summary Wald Gauss Bonnet scenario has the potential to alleviate the  $H_0$  and the  $\sigma_8$  tension.

- In summary Wald Gauss Bonnet scenario has the potential to alleviate the  $H_0$  and the  $\sigma_8$  tension.
- Publications regarding this work:

- In summary Wald Gauss Bonnet scenario has the potential to alleviate the  $H_0$  and the  $\sigma_8$  tension.
- Publications regarding this work:
- "Topological dark energy from black-hole formations and mergers through the gravity-thermodynamics approach" https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.111.103514

- In summary Wald Gauss Bonnet scenario has the potential to alleviate the  $H_0$  and the  $\sigma_8$  tension.
- Publications regarding this work:
- "Topological dark energy from black-hole formations and mergers through the gravity-thermodynamics approach" https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.111.103514
- "Using Wald-Gauss-Bonnet topological dark energy to simultaneously alleviate the  $H_0$  and  $\sigma_8$  tensions" https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.15927

- In summary Wald Gauss Bonnet scenario has the potential to alleviate the  $H_0$  and the  $\sigma_8$  tension.
- Publications regarding this work:
- "Topological dark energy from black-hole formations and mergers through the gravity-thermodynamics approach" https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.111.103514
- "Using Wald-Gauss-Bonnet topological dark energy to simultaneously alleviate the  $H_0$  and  $\sigma_8$  tensions" https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.15927

# Thank you for your attention!!!

- In summary Wald Gauss Bonnet scenario has the potential to alleviate the  $H_0$  and the  $\sigma_8$  tension.
- Publications regarding this work:
- "Topological dark energy from black-hole formations and mergers through the gravity-thermodynamics approach" https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.111.103514
- "Using Wald-Gauss-Bonnet topological dark energy to simultaneously alleviate the  $H_0$  and  $\sigma_8$  tensions" https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.15927

# Thank you for your attention!!!

tsilioukas@sch.gr

- Rong-Gen Cai and Sang Pyo Kim. First law of thermodynamics and Friedmann equations of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe. JHEP, 02:050, 2005. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2005/02/050.
- Héctor Gil-Marín et al. The clustering of the SDSS-IV extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey DR14 quasar sample: structure growth rate measurement from the anisotropic quasar power spectrum in the redshift range 0.8 < z < 2.2. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc., 477(2): 1604–1638, 2018. doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty453.

# Bibliography II

Jiamin Hou et al. The clustering of the SDSS-IV extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey DR14 quasar sample: anisotropic clustering analysis in configuration-space. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 480(2): 2521–2534, 2018. doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty1984.

- Piero Madau and Mark Dickinson. Cosmic Star Formation History. Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 52:415–486, 2014. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125615.
- Sudipta Sarkar and Aron C. Wall. Second Law Violations in Lovelock Gravity for Black Hole Mergers. *Phys. Rev. D*, 83: 124048, 2011. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.124048.
- Jesus Torrado and Antony Lewis. Cobaya: Code for Bayesian Analysis of hierarchical physical models. *JCAP*, 05:057, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2021/05/057.
- Robert M. Wald. Black hole entropy is the Noether charge. *Phys. Rev. D*, 48(8):R3427–R3431, 1993. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.48.R3427.
- Gong-Bo Zhao et al. The clustering of the SDSS-IV extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey DR14 quasar sample: a tomographic measurement of cosmic structure growth and expansion rate based on optimal redshift weights. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 482(3):3497–3513, 2019. doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty2845.