# **Confronting the Cosmic Dipole Tension**

Systematics, Surveys and Statistics

#### Oliver Oayda

PhD Candidate Sydney Institute for Astronomy The University of Sydney

June 26, 2025





Supervised by Geraint Lewis Tara Murphy

CosmoVerse@Istanbul



June 2025

The cosmological principle might be in trouble. Future surveys will be decisive. How can we prepare?

## The Kinematic Dipole



Figure 1: CMB temperature map (dipole excluded; Planck).

### The Kinematic Dipole



Figure 1: CMB temperature map (dipole included; BeyondPlanck). ★: dipole direction.

### The Kinematic Dipole



Figure 1: CMB temperature map (dipole included; BeyondPlanck). ★: dipole direction.













$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{CMB}} = [2 + x (1 + \alpha)] \frac{v_{\mathsf{CMB}}}{c}.$$



Our motion  $\implies$  a dipole in source density.

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{CMB}} = [2 + x (1 + \alpha)] \frac{v_{\mathsf{CMB}}}{c}.$$

Typical values: 0.004 - 0.007.

A 0.5% effect!

#### The Amplitude Excess



#### The Amplitude Excess



### The Amplitude Excess



Cosmic dipole should be consistent with CMB dipole...

All is well!

Wait...

The dipole tension, like the Hubble tension, challenges the fiducial ∧CDM paradigm.

#### 1. Systematics

• Are we measuring what we think we're measuring?

#### 2. Survey design or Strategy

• How do we optimise information?

#### 3. Statistical framework

• Can different frameworks help us?

#### 1. Systematics

#### $\circ~$ Are we measuring what we think we're measuring?

#### 2. Survey design or Strategy

• How do we optimise information?

#### 3. Statistical framework

• Can different frameworks help us?

#### 1. Systematics

· Are we measuring what we think we're measuring?

#### 2. Survey design or Strategy

• How do we optimise information?

#### 3. Statistical framework

• Can different frameworks help us?

- 1. Systematics
  - Are we measuring what we think we're measuring?
- 2. Survey design or Strategy
  - $\circ~$  How do we optimise information?
- 3. Statistical framework
  - Can different frameworks help us?

- 1. Systematics
  - Are we measuring what we think we're measuring?
- 2. Survey design or Strategy
  - $\circ~$  How do we optimise information?
- 3. Statistical framework
  - Can different frameworks help us?

- 1. Systematics
  - Are we measuring what we think we're measuring?
- 2. Survey design or Strategy
  - How do we optimise information?
- 3. Statistical framework
  - Can different frameworks help us?

# **Optical Quasars** — A Dusty Death?

#### The cosmic dipole in the Quaia sample of quasars: a Bayesian analysis

Vasudev Mittal<sup>0</sup>,<sup>1</sup>\* Oliver T. Oayda<sup>2</sup>\*<sup>†</sup> and Geraint F. Lewis<sup>0</sup><sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India <sup>2</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia,

- Quaia sample, Gaia DR3 quasars
- Storey-Fisher et al. (2024)

# **Optical Quasars** — A Dusty Death?

#### The cosmic dipole in the Quaia sample of quasars: a Bayesian analysis

Vasudev Mittal<sup>0</sup>,<sup>1</sup>\* Oliver T. Oayda<sup>2</sup>\* † and Geraint F. Lewis<sup>0</sup><sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India <sup>2</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia,

- Quaia sample, Gaia DR3 quasars
- Storey-Fisher et al. (2024)



## **Optical Quasars** — A Dusty Death?

Vasudev Mittal<sup>0</sup>,<sup>1</sup>\* Oliver T. Oayda<sup>2</sup>\*<sup>†</sup> and Geraint F. Lewis<sup>0</sup><sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India <sup>2</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia,



SYSTEMATICS

# A Bayesian approach to the cosmic dipole in radio galaxy surveys: joint analysis of NVSS & RACS

Oliver T. Oayda<sup>9</sup>,<sup>1</sup>\* Vasudev Mittal<sup>9</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Geraint F. Lewis<sup>9</sup> and Tara Murphy<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India



# A Bayesian approach to the cosmic dipole in radio galaxy surveys: joint analysis of NVSS & RACS

Oliver T. Oayda<sup>9</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Vasudev Mittal<sup>9</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Geraint F. Lewis<sup>9</sup> and Tara Murphy<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India



# A Bayesian approach to the cosmic dipole in radio galaxy surveys: joint analysis of NVSS & RACS

Oliver T. Oayda<sup>9</sup>,<sup>1</sup>\* Vasudev Mittal<sup>9</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Geraint F. Lewis<sup>9</sup> and Tara Murphy<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
<sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India



Cross-matching up to  $z \approx 0.04 \implies 10-15\%$  drop in  $\mathcal{D}$ 

# A Bayesian approach to the cosmic dipole in radio galaxy surveys: joint analysis of NVSS & RACS

Oliver T. Oayda<sup>9</sup>, <sup>1</sup>\* Vasudev Mittal<sup>9</sup>, <sup>1,2</sup> Geraint F. Lewis<sup>91</sup> and Tara Murphy<sup>91</sup> <sup>1</sup>Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics A28, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306, Punjab, India NVSS amplitude posterior RACS-low1 amplitude posterior  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{CMB}}$  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{CMB}}$ 0.000 0.0050.010 0.0150.020 0.000 0.0050.010 0.0150.020 Dipole amplitude DDipole amplitude  $\mathcal{D}$ No local sources With local sources No local sources With local sources

Pure kinematic interpretation an approximation...

- Generate mock SKA source catalogues/maps based on observing config (Hale, Tiwari and von Hausegger).
- Input: ACDM matter power spectrum.
- $\ell = 1$  moment not kinematic!

- Generate mock SKA source catalogues/maps based on observing config (Hale, Tiwari and von Hausegger).
- Input: ACDM matter power spectrum.
- $\ell = 1$  moment not kinematic!



- Generate mock SKA source catalogues/maps based on observing config (Hale, Tiwari and von Hausegger).
- Input: ACDM matter power spectrum.
- $\ell = 1$  moment not kinematic!



- Generate mock SKA source catalogues/maps based on observing config (Hale, Tiwari and von Hausegger).
- Input: ACDM matter power spectrum.
- $\ell = 1$  moment not kinematic!



- Generate mock SKA source catalogues/maps based on observing config (Hale, Tiwari and von Hausegger).
- Input: ACDM matter power spectrum.
- $\ell = 1$  moment not kinematic!



Clustering 'drags' inferred dipole.  $D_{\text{clust.}} \approx 0.002$ ,  $D_{\text{kin.}} \approx 0.005$ 

# Cosmic multipoles in galaxy surveys – I. How inferences depend on source counts and masks

- Synthesis of source count, sky coverage and position of visible sky.
- Optimising these yields the highest information  $(D_{KL})$ .

# Cosmic multipoles in galaxy surveys – I. How inferences depend on source counts and masks

- Synthesis of source count, sky coverage and position of visible sky.
- Optimising these yields the highest information  $(D_{KL})$ .



# Cosmic multipoles in galaxy surveys – I. How inferences depend on source counts and masks

- Synthesis of source count, sky coverage and position of visible sky.
- Optimising these yields the highest information  $(D_{KL})$ .



# Cosmic multipoles in galaxy surveys – I. How inferences depend on source counts and masks

- Synthesis of source count, sky coverage and position of visible sky.
- Optimising these yields the highest information  $(D_{KL})$ .



# Cosmic multipoles in galaxy surveys – I. How inferences depend on source counts and masks

- Synthesis of source count, sky coverage and position of visible sky.
- Optimising these yields the highest information  $(D_{KL})$ .



# Cosmic multipoles in galaxy surveys – I. How inferences depend on source counts and masks

- Synthesis of source count, sky coverage and position of visible sky.
- Optimising these yields the highest information  $(D_{KL})$ .



Why do we want to account for higher  $\ell$ 's?

• Incomplete sky coverage  $\implies$  power leakage (Abghari et al. 2024).

# Journeying Towards Higher Orders

Why do we want to account for higher  $\ell$ 's?

- Incomplete sky coverage  $\implies$  power leakage (Abghari et al. 2024).
- CatWISE2020: ecliptic bias  $\implies$  quadrupole ( $\ell = 2$ ).
- Higher order multipoles?
- From Abghari et al. (2024).





## Journeying Towards Higher Orders

Why do we want to account for higher  $\ell$ 's?

• Incomplete sky coverage  $\implies$  power leakage (Abghari et al. 2024).



The dipole & quadrupole are disentangled from each other!

# Journeying Towards Higher Orders

(2025) MNRAS 537(1)

Why do we want to account for higher  $\ell$ 's?

• Incomplete sky coverage  $\implies$  power leakage (Abghari et al. 2024).



The dipole & octupole are disentangled from each other!

#### STATISTICS



#### STATISTICS



The classic likelihood-based approach:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\Theta}|\mathbf{D}, M) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}, M) \, \pi(\boldsymbol{\Theta}|M)}{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{D}|M)}.$$

#### STATISTICS



The classic likelihood-based approach:

$$P(\mathbf{\Theta}|\mathbf{D}, M) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}|\mathbf{\Theta}, M) \pi(\mathbf{\Theta}|M)}{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{D}|M)}.$$

We suppose  $P(N_i|M_{dipole}) = Pois(\lambda_i)$  where

$$\lambda_i = \bar{N}(1 + \mathcal{D}\cos\theta).$$

#### STATISTICS



Don't know  $\mathcal{L}$ ? No problem!

#### STATISTICS



#### Don't know $\mathcal{L}$ ? No problem!

Take data-generating process  $f_M : \Theta \to \mathbf{D}$ .

#### STATISTICS



Don't know  $\mathcal{L}$ ? No problem!

Take data-generating process  $f_M : \Theta \to \mathbf{D}$ .

Use neural network to learn  $P(\Theta | \mathbf{D}, M)$ .

This is Simulation-based Inference.

# Simulating CatWISE

- Photometric noise varies with sky position.
- Mimics CatWISE coverage variation.
- Eddington-bias-like effect: can simulate!





# Simulating CatWISE

- Photometric noise varies with sky position.
- Mimics CatWISE coverage variation.
- Eddington-bias-like effect: can simulate!



STATISTICS

# Simulating CatWISE



 The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ΛCDM — alongside the H<sub>0</sub> tension.

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ΛCDM — alongside the H<sub>0</sub> tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ACDM alongside the  $H_0$  tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.
- Systematics

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ACDM alongside the  $H_0$  tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.
- Systematics
  - Clustering dipole.

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ACDM alongside the  $H_0$  tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.
- Systematics
  - Clustering dipole.
  - Higher order effects, e.g. CatWISE scanning law.

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ACDM alongside the  $H_0$  tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.
- Systematics
  - Clustering dipole.
  - Higher order effects, e.g. CatWISE scanning law.
- Survey design or Strategy

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ACDM alongside the  $H_0$  tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.
- Systematics
  - Clustering dipole.
  - Higher order effects, e.g. CatWISE scanning law.
- Survey design or Strategy
- Statistics

- The dipole tension is an outstanding problem for ACDM alongside the  $H_0$  tension.
- Future surveys will be decisive.
- Systematics
  - Clustering dipole.
  - Higher order effects, e.g. CatWISE scanning law.
- Survey design or Strategy
- Statistics
  - SBI a way forward?